arXiv:1609.06151v1 [math.CA] 20 Sep 2016

VECTOR ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS WITH BOCHNER'S PROPERTY

EMIL HOROZOV

Abstract. Classical orthogonal polynomial systems of Jacobi, Hermite, Laguerre and Bessel have the property that the polynomials of each system are eigenfunctions of a second order ordinary differential operator. According to a classical theorem by Bochner they are the only systems with this property. Similarly, the polynomials of Charlier, Meixner, Kravchuk and Hahn are both orthogonal and are eigenfunctions of a suitable difference operator of second order. We recall that according to the famous theorem of Favard-Shohat, the condition of orthogonality is equivalent to the 3-term recurrence relation. Vector orthogonal polynomials (VOP) satisfy finite-term recurrence relation with more terms, according to a theorem by J. Van Iseghem and this characterizes them.

Motivated by Bochner's theorem we are looking for VOP that are also eigenfunctions of a differential (difference) operator. We call these simultaneous conditions Bochner's property.

The goal of this paper is to introduce methods for construction of VOP which have Bochner's property. The methods are purely algebraic and are based on automorphisms of non-commutative algebras. They also use ideas from the so called bispectral problem. Applications of the abstract methods include broad generalizations of the classical orthogonal polynomials, both continuous and discrete. Other results connect different families of VOP, including the classical ones, by linear transforms of purely algebraic origin, despite of the fact that, when interpreted analytically, they are integral transformations.

Contents

1. Introduction 2

Part 1. Theory 8
2. Elements of bispectral theory 8
3. Algebraic construction 10
3.1. The Weyl Algebra and some of its subalgebras 10
3.2. Bispectral anti-involution 12
3.3. New anti-isomorphism 13

Part 2. Applications 14
4. Continuous VOP 14
5. Discrete VOP 16
6. Discrete vs. continous VOP 19

Part 3. Examples 21

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L20 (Primary); 30C15, 33E05 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Vector orthogonal polynomials, finite recurrence relations, bispectral problem.

7. Elementary examples 21
7.1. Continous VOP 21
7.2. Discrete VOP 24
8. Connection between continuous and discrete VOP 25
References 26

1. Introduction

The present paper generalizes the results of [37, 38]. There we sketched a program aiming to construct sets of Vector Orthogonal Polynomials (VOP), with the property that the polynomials of each system are eigenfunctions of some differential or difference operator. The problem stems from the famous Bochner theorem. Let us recall it.

Bochner [15] has proved that all systems of orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x),\ \ n=0,\dots,$ that are also eigenfunctions of a second order differential operator

$$L(x,\partial_x)=A(x)\partial_x^2+B(x)\partial_x+C(x) \tag{1.1}$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda(n)$ are exhausted by the classical orthogonal polynomials of Hermit, Laguerre and Jacobi and the lesser known Bessel polynomials (found in [48]).

The orthogonality condition, due to the classical theorem by Favard and Shohat is equivalent to the well known 3-term recurrence relation

$$xP_n=P_{n+1}+\beta(n)P_n+\gamma(n)P_{n-1}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\beta(n),\gamma(n)$ are constants, depending on n.[1] Also throughout this paper we use orthogonality in slightly broader sense - with respect to some functional, which does not need to be defined by a measure, nor to be positive-definite.

For example the Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x),\ n=0,1,\dots\}$ are eigenfunctions of the Hermite differential operator:

$$L=-\partial_x^2+x\partial_x$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda(n)=n$. At the same time they satisfy 3-term recurrence relation:

$$xH_n(x)=H_{n+1}+nH_{n-1}.$$

In 1940 H. Krall extended Bochners's result to include the case of differential operators of order 4. In recent times there is much activity in generalizations and versions of the classical results of Bochner and Krall.

An important role in some of these generalizations plays the ideology of the bispectral problem which was initiated in [24]. Translating the Bochner's and Krall's results into this language presents a good basis to continue investigations, see [30]. It goes as follows. Let us introduce the bivariate function $\psi(x,n)=P_n(x)$ and the shift operators T and T^{-1}, acting on the discrete variable n. If we write the right-hand side of the 3-term recurrence relation as a difference operator $J(n)$ acting on n, then the 3-term recurrence relation can be written as

[1] Here and further in the paper the polynomials $P_n(x),\ \ n=0,\dots,$ are monic, i. e. they are normalized by the condition that their highest order coefficient is 1.

$$J(n)\psi(x,n) = x\psi(x,n). \tag{1.3}$$

where in terms of the shift operators $T^{\pm}$, which map $f(n)$ into $f(n \pm 1)$ the operator $J(n)$ has the form:

$$J(n) = T + \beta(n)T^0 + \gamma(n)T^{-1}$$

This means that $\psi(x,n)$ is an eigenfunction of the discrete operator J with eigenvalue x and of a differential operator $L(x,\partial_x)$ with eigenvalue λ_n.

Hence we can formulate the Bochner-Krall problem as the following bispectral problem:

(BK) *Find all systems of orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x)$ which are eigenfunctions of a differential operator.*

The implementation of ideas from the bispectral problem in these studies is usually done through the well developed machinery of Darboux transformations. See [56] for the general theory and [29, 31, 32] for applications to orthogonal polynomials. One starts with some known polynomial sequences and performs Darboux transformations in order to obtain new systems of polynomials that are eigenfunctions of a differential operator and at the same time are eigenfunctions of a difference operator $J(n)$ as in (1.3). See, e.g [30, 31, 32, 40].

Here we also exploit some ideas from the theory of bispectral operators. Before explaining them and the main results let us introduce one more concept which is central for the present paper. This is the notion of vector orthogonal polynomials, introduced by J. van Iseghem [67]. Let $\{P_n(x),\ n = 0,1,\dots\}$ be a family of monic polynomials such that $\deg P_n = n$. The polynomials are VOP iff there exist d functionals $\mathcal{L}_j, j = 0,\dots,d-1$ on the space of all polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_j(P_nP_m) = 0, m > nd + j, n \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_j(P_nP_{nd+j}) \neq 0, n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

for each $j \in N_{d+1} := \{0,\dots,d-1\}$. When $d = 1$ this is the ordinary notion of orthogonal polynomials. The orthogonality connected with d functionals rather than with only one, gives the other name of VOP - d-orthogonal polynomials, which is quite popular. By a theorem of J. Van Iseghem [67] (see also P. Maroni [55]), which generalizes the result of Favard and Shohat, this property is equivalent to the following one: the polynomials satisfy a $d+2$-term recurrence relation

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \gamma_j(n)P_{n-j}(x) \tag{1.4}$$ {d-ort}

with constants $\gamma_j(n)$, independent of x, $\gamma_d(n) \neq 0, n \geq d$.

In what follows, speaking about VOP, we always will understand polynomials systems with the above $d+2$-term recurrence relation (1.4).

We can state the generalized Bochner problem (GBP) as follows.

Find systems of polynomials $P_n(x),\ n = 0,1,\dots$, $\deg P_N = n$, that are eigenfunctions of a differential operator L of order m with eigenvalues $\lambda(n)$ depending on the discrete variable n (the index):

$$LP_n(x) = \lambda(n)P_n(x)$$

and which at the same time are eigenfunctions of a difference operator, i.e. that satisfy a finite-term (of fixed length $d+2$), recurrence relation of the form

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \gamma_j(n)P_{n-j}(x).$$

For each m and each d classify all systems of d-orthogonal polynomials.

We will call the polynomial systems described above "Polynomial systems with generalized Bochner' property". Obviously, the problem can be stated for difference, q-difference and other types of operators. In this paper we will deal with both differential and difference operators.

The first part of the GBP has been addressed in many works, e.g. [23, 11, 66] and references therein. The second part of the problem looks much more difficult. It is solved only for $d = 1$, i.e. for orthogonal polynomials and differential operators of order 2 (Bochner) and order 4 (Krall). However some other cases seem to be in reach of the methods at our disposal.

It is evident that we still need to accumulate more examples of polynomial systems with the Bochner's property. If possible, their construction should be done in a systematic way, that eventually will prompt the limitations of the methods. But any individual example would also help to understand better the problem.

The goals of the present paper are several. The first one is to obtain families of VOP with Bochner's property. These families include the classical OP of Hermite and Laguerre, as well as the discrete systems of Charlier, Kravchuk and Meixner as well as their quite straightforward extensions. The next goal is to show the connections between continuous and discrete VOP. We point out that these two classes of VOP are mapped into each other in an almost trivial way. Further we give a number of examples, both old and new, that deserve attention even by themselves. Finally but probably most important is our general framework which allows to view all such systems as simple realizations of appropriate pairs of associative noncommutative algebras and mappings between them. It is worth mentioning that all the polynomial systems in this paper originate from the simplest one $\{x^n,\ n = 0, 1, \dots\}$.

We will explain our construction on the example of Hermite-like polynomials. We start with the algebra W_1 of differential operators in one variable x with polynomial coefficients, which is known under the name the first Weyl algebra. We define the operator $H = x\partial_x$ and the system of polynomials $\{\psi(x, n) = x^n,\ n = 0, 1, \dots\}$. Notice that

$$H\psi(x, n) = n\psi(x, n),$$

i.e. the functions $\psi(x, n)$ are eigenfunctions of H for each fixed n. The function $\psi(x, n)$ is also an eigenfunction of the shift operator T, but considered as a function in n :

$$T\psi(x, n) = x.\psi(x, n),$$

with eigenvalue x. This is a very simple example of the GBP. From it we will obtain our VOP as follows. Together with the Weyl algebra we consider the algebra $\mathcal{R}$ spanned

by the operators T, T^{-1} and the operator of multiplication by n. Next we define an anti-homomorphism $b\colon W_1 \to \mathcal{R}$ on the generators of W_1 by

$$b(x) = T,\ b(\partial_x) = nT^{-1}.$$

From this definitions it follows that

$$b(H) = n.$$

For later use put $\mathcal{B}_1 = W_1$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_2$ the image of $\mathcal{B}_1$ under the map b. Using $\psi(x,n) = x^n$ we can express the map b as

$$A\psi(x,n) = b(A)\psi(x,n),\ A \in W_1.$$

It is easy to see that $b\colon B_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is an anti-isomorphism.

Now we can perform an automorphism of the Weyl algebra. We notice that all of the automorphisms of W_1 were described by Dixmier in [22]. In terms of the above operators x, ∂_x all the automorphisms are spanned by

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}_G},\ \text{with } G = \lambda\partial_x^q,\ \text{or } G = \mu x^p\ p,q \in \mathbb{N},\ \lambda,\mu \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathrm{ad}_A(B) = [A,B]$, $A, B \in W_1$. One can easily show that the above operators are well defined as both x and ∂_x act locally nilpotently, i.e. when applied to any $A \in W_1$ the exponentials defining the above series are finite sums.

We will take one of the simplest automorphisms $\sigma_G = e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}$, defined via $G = -\partial_x^2/2$. Short computations show that

$$\sigma_G(H) = -\partial_x^2 + x\partial_x.$$

This is exactly the Hermite operator. Let us map the polynomial system x^n into

$$P_n(x) = e^G(x^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^j(x^n)}{j!}.$$

This is again a polynomial system as the series is finite and $\deg P_n = n$. If we present $e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}(H)$ as

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}(H) = e^G H e^{-G},$$

we easily see that

$$\sigma_G(H)P_n(x) = e^G H e^{-G} P_n(x) = e^G H x^n = nP_n(x),$$

i.e. the new polynomials are eigenfunctions of the operator $\sigma_G(H)$. Notice that the anti-automorphism b is transformed into $b' = b\circ\sigma^{-1}$. It is easy to show that $b'(x) = T + nT^{-1}$, i.e. it gives the 3-term recurrence of Hermite polynomials:

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + nP_{n-1}(x).$$

We can adapt this construction by: 1) taking an appropriate pair of algebras $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, instead of W_1 and $b(W_1)$ and a map b between them and 2) performing automorphisms $\sigma\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$, followed by constructing new anti-automorphism $b' = b \circ \sigma^{-1}$. It turns out that the construction can be performed in a very general situation as follows.

Consider the Weyl algebra W_1 over a field k. For simplicity we consider that the field k has characteristic 0. We recall that W_1 is a quotient of the free algebra on two

generators, Z and Y, by the two-sided ideal generated by the element $ZY - YZ - 1$. We are going to construct a pair of associative unital algebras $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$. Let $H = YZ$. For a polynomial $R(H)$ in H put $G = R(H)Z$ and define the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathrm{span}(Y, H, G).$$

One can check that e^{ad_G} acts locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{B}_1$.

Let us define another algebra $\mathcal{R}$ over k, defined by generators $T, T^{-1}, \hat{n}$ and relations

$$T.T^{-1} = 1,\ [T, \hat{n}] = T,\ [T^{-1}, \hat{n}] = -\hat{n}.$$

We define an anti-homomorphism $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{R}$ by

$$\begin{cases} b(Y) = T \\ b(H) = \hat{n} \\ b(G) = \hat{n}T^{-1}R(\hat{n}). \end{cases}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B}_2$ will be defined as the image of $\mathcal{B}_1$ via b. Then $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is an anti-isomorphism. We note that instead of G we can use any polynomial $q(G)$. Of particular interest are the polynomials $q(G) = \rho G^l$ due to the fact that they have more properties, see [39]. Similarly to the construction of the Hermite polynomials, corresponding to $G = \partial_x$ and $q(G) = -\partial_x^2/2$ we define automorphisms $\sigma_q\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ and new anti-isomorphisms $b' = b \circ \sigma_q^{-1}$, which encode all the properties of the polynomial system, that we even haven't yet defined. It is easy to define a polynomial system in each concrete case but also abstractly, which we don't need.

We notice that this construction while mimicking the case when the Weyl algebra is realized via differential operators, works perfectly in the case of difference operators, too. We need this in order to extend some of the classical discrete orthogonal polynomials. The point is that the Weyl algebra can be realized also by difference operators.

Our next step is to realize the abstract construction in cases of VOP. The continuous polynomial systems can be obtained by using the standard representation of the Weyl algebra as the algebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients in one variable x. Namely we put Y to be the operator of multiplication by x and Z to be the differentiation ∂_x. In this way we obtain extensions of the Hermite and the Laguerre polynomials, similar to those obtained in [37, 23, 11, 12].

The discrete polynomials are treated similarly but instead of the polynomial system x^n we take the falling factorials $(x)_n = x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)$. Then we can realize the Weyl algebra by the difference operators D, D^{-1}, acting on functions of the variable x as shift operators $D^{\pm}f(x) = f(x \pm 1)$, together with the operator $\hat{x}$, acting as multiplication by x. Put $\Delta = D - 1$, $\nabla = D^{-1} - 1$, $H = -\hat{x}\nabla$. It is easy to check that $[\Delta, \hat{x}D^{-1}] = 1$. In this way we obtain VOP, which extend the results of [38, 12, 28] on Charlier and Meixner type polynomials.

Naturally having similar constructions we can ask if there is a connection between the parallel continuous and discrete families. We obtain the mapping of these pairs in a very simple way - by the Mellin transform. We have to notice that even in the case of the mapping between Laguerre and Meixner polynomials our construction is new. A connection of this type has been found in [46, 6]. Our construction gives another formula, which is both very simple and very easy to prove, (modulo the general construction).

The success of this program depends on the possibility to find algebra pairs $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ and automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}_1$ such that the functions defined by the latter series are polynomials.

We notice that there are automorphisms that do not share the last property, e.g. the one defined by $G = \hat{x}$. Similar difficulty is not met in cases of differential-differential bispectral problem, cf. [24, 7].

We note that there are numerous nice constructions of systems of polynomials, both the classical ones or multiple orthogonal polynomials and even more general special functions using operational methods. One feature of our approach is that we construct not only the polynomial systems but simultaneously many of the accompanying objects like finite-term relations, differential operators, raising and lowering operators, etc. In the present paper we restrict ourselves only with properties that come automatically with the construction, described above. Other properties of the VOP with Bochner property will be considered in [39], which is a continuation of the present paper. In particular we will find hypergeometric representations, which will point to the similarity of the systems of VOP, corresponding to $q(G) = \rho G^l$ with the Gould-Hopper polynomials [29].

During the years after their discovery Gould-Hopper polynomials have received a lot of attention from scientists in various fields. Needless to say that the majority of these are in special functions, see e.g. etc., [19, 20, 61, 18] and the references therein. However it is worth noticing that specialists in other fields have also used them. I will mention in particular combinatorics [59, 68], integrable systems [17]. Also connections to quantum physics and probability are found.

The paper is divided into three parts. In the first part we start with a brief review of well known material from the bispectral problem, taken mainly from [7]. Next, in Sect. 3 we perform our abstract construction, which consists of description of certain pairs of associative algebras and different maps between them in the spirit of the above explanations. These maps in fact encode all the information about eventual VOP, the polynomials themselves being left to Part 2. In Part 2 we apply the abstract construction, first in Sect. 4 to differential GBP. This means that we list pairs of algebras of $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $\mathcal{B}_1$ is realized by differential operators in one variable. Although some families are well known (from [11] and [37]), most of the VOP are new. In the next Sect. 5. we do the same for a realization of $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ in terms of difference operators. Here the new families are almost all. Only the extension of the Charlier ([13, 69] and Meixner polynomials [38] are known.

In the next section 6. we point out explicit maps between the constructed families via the Mellin transform.

The last Part 3 is devoted to examples. Many of them are well known and serve only to illustrate our methods in Sect. 7. There is a second class of known examples, which then are extended to new ones in Sect. 8. A number of other examples will be presented in [39].

It deserves to point out that the map between continuous and discrete VOP allow in many cases easily to produce new examples from well known ones.

Although we use only fields of characteristic 0 many constructions could be performed in characteristic p. In all such cases the polynomial system will be finite. It maybe deserves to understand if these systems have interesting properties and eventual applications. We recall that the Kravchuk polynomials, which form a finite orthogonal system, have applications to coding theory [54].

The reader probably has noticed the absence in the above scheme of the Jacobi and Bessel polynomials as well as the polynomials of the Hahn family. I don't know if this is due to limitations of the method.

These investigations could be continued in several directions. First, one could further examine the properties of the VOP, introduced here. In particular the generalized Gould-Hopper polynomials should share other similarities with the classical orthogonal polynomials. Another study would be the construction of new VOP via Darboux transformations in the spirit of [31, 32, 40, 41], etc. It would be interesting to find applications to integrable systems along the lines of investigations in [17]. However, it seems that systems different from the Novikov-Vesselov one would be the right object. In [7, 33, 34] one can find representations of the $W_{1+\infty}$-algebra originating from certain solutions of the bispectral problem. It is quite plausible that all solutions here have similar properties. See also [63] where string equations for certain solutions of the 2-d Toda hierarchy are derived.

The finite term recurrence relations generate solutions for the bigraded (but not extended) Toda lattice hierarchy [16, 57, 58, 10]. It is worth understanding their nature.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Boris Shapiro for showing and discussing some examples of systems of polynomials studied here and in particular the examples from [64]. Without this probably the project would have never be even started. The author is grateful to the Mathematics Department of Stockholm University for the hospitality in April 2015. My thanks also go to the organizers and the participants of the International Conference Groups and Rings - Theory and Applications (GRiTA2016) and in particular to V. Drensky for the invitation to the conference, where some of the results were presented. Also I am grateful to the participants of the Seminar "Dinamical systems and number theory" at Sofia University, where I presented a detailed account of the results.

Part 1. **Theory**

2. Elements of bispectral theory

Let $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ be associative algebras over a field k and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a left module over both of them. Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be fields such that $k \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{R}_1$ and $k \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{R}_2$.

Definition 2.1. We call an element $L \in \mathcal{R}_1$ *bispectral* iff there exist $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_2$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that

$$L\psi = f\psi, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Lambda\psi = \theta\psi. \tag{2.6}$$

□

In order to have a non-trivial problem we assume that

> (∗∗) *there are no nonzero elements L and Λ that satisfy one of the above conditions with $f \equiv 0$ or $\theta \equiv 0$.*

Let us fix $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (2.5) and (2.6). We are interested in the equation (cf. [7])

$$P\psi = Q\psi \tag{2.7}$$

for $P \in \mathcal{R}_1$, $Q \in \mathcal{R}_2$. We put

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{P \in \mathcal{R}_1 \mid \exists Q \in \mathcal{R}_2 \text{ for which (2.7) is satisfied}\},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{Q \in \mathcal{R}_2 \mid \exists P \in \mathcal{R}_1 \text{ for which (2.7) is satisfied}\}.$$

We shall assume that the actions of $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ on $\mathcal{M}$ commute and that (**) holds. Then $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ are associative algebras over k without zero divisors. Obviously (2.7) defines an anti-isomorphism

$$b\colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2, \quad b(P) = Q.$$

Introduce also the subalgebras

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{F}_2,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_1 = b^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_2), \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = b(\mathcal{K}_1).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are a commutative algebras isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_1$ (the *spectral algebras*).

Definition 2.2. We call the triple $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, b)$ a *bispectral triple* iff both $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ contain non-zero elements. □

Obviously if $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, b)$ is a bispectral triple then any element $L \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is bispectral.

Examples of such situations with differential operators are given in the Introduction. Let us consider other examples.

Example 2.3. In this example we consider functions $f(x)$ which are invariant under the shift operator D, acting as $Df(x) = f(x+1)$ and its inverse D^{-1}, $D^{-1}f(x) = f(x-1)$. The operators $D^{\pm}$ and the operator $\hat{x}$ of multiplication by x form the algebra $\mathcal{R}_1$ in this case. We are going to introduce $\mathcal{R}_2$ exactly in the same way considering functions $h(n)$ and the corresponding shift operators $T^{-\pm}$ as well as the operator $\hat{n}$ of multiplication by n. Recall the definition of the falling factorial $(x)_n$

$$(x)_n = x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1),\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ (x)_0 = 1.$$

Remark 2.4. This notation is not the usual one in the research in special functions. Usually it denotes the rising factorial. In this paper and in [39] we choose to use the above notation for the notion of the falling factorials. While we need both the rising and the falling factorials we use more often the latter.

Let us put

$$\psi(x, n) = (x)_n.$$

It would be convenient to introduce also the operators $\Delta = D - 1$ and $\nabla = D^{-1} - 1$ and $H = -\hat{x}\nabla$. We are going to denote by $\mathcal{B}_1$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_1$ spanned by the operators Δ, H and the operator $\hat{x}$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\psi(x,n) = nT^{-1}\psi(x,n) \\ x\psi(x,n) = (T+n)\psi(x,n) \\ H\psi(x,n) = n\psi(x,n). \end{cases}$$

This defines an anti-involution b, defined by $\psi(x, n)$:

$$\begin{cases} b(\Delta) = nT^{-1} \\ b(x) = (T+n) \\ b(H) = n. \end{cases}$$

The subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_2$ will be spanned by their images under the action of the bispectral involution defined by $\psi(x, n)$

□

Of course the most important examples are the classical orthogonal polynomials of Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel and Jacobi, see e.g. [45, 36].

In the next proposition we will discuss how to construct new bispectral operators from already known ones. Our basic tool for constructing new bispectral operators will be the following simple observation made in [7].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, b)$ be a bispectral triple and let $\mathrm{ad}_L : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$, where $L \in \mathcal{B}_1$, be a locally nilpotent operator. Suppose that, the element $e^L\psi$ is well defined, $e^L\psi \in \mathcal{M}$. Define a new map $b^{'} : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ via the new element $\psi' := e^L\psi$.*

Then $b^{'} : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is surjective, and $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, b^{'})$ is a bispectral triple. (Here locally nilpotent *means that for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ there exists an integer n such that $(\mathrm{ad}_L)^n A = 0$.)*

3. Algebraic construction

3.1. **The Weyl Algebra and some of its subalgebras.** Below we repeat the definition of the Weyl algebra W_1 in the abstract form in which we are going to use it. W_1 is an algebra, spanned over a field $\mathcal{F}$ by two elements Y, Z, subject to the relation $[Z, Y] \equiv ZY - YZ = 1$. Denote by H the element YZ and let $R(H)$ be a polynomial of degree d in H. Consider also the element G defined as

$$G = R(H)Z \in W_1. \tag{3.8}$$

We are going to define a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_1^R$ of W_1, spanned by the elements Y, H, G.

In the next lemma we list the relations between these elements. We have

Lemma 3.1. *The elements Y, H, G commute as follows:*

$$[H, Y] = Y, \;\; [H, G] = -G, \;\; [G, Y] = R(H)(H + 1) - R(H - 1)H.$$

Proof. Follows from the defining relations of W_1 by direct computations.

□

For later use we put $S(H) := R(H-1)H$. Then $[G, Y] = \Delta S(H) := S(H+1) - S(H)$.

Next we define an automorphism σ of $\mathcal{B}_1^R$, acting on elements $A \in \mathcal{B}_1^R$ as

$$\sigma(A) = e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ad}_G^j A}{j!}.$$

This definition makes sense only if the above series is finite for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1^R$ (the number of terms depends on A). Let us compute the action of σ, which will also prove the correctness of σ.

Lemma 3.2. *The automorphism σ acts on the generators of $\mathcal{B}_1$ as follows:*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(G) = G \\ \sigma(H) = H + G \\ \sigma(Y) = Y + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{[\Delta^j S(H)] G^{j-1}}{j!}. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. The first two formulas are obvious. Let us prove the last one. We start with the commutator

$$\mathrm{ad}_G(Y) = [G, Y] = \Delta S(H).$$

Let us derive the general formula for $\mathrm{ad}_G(f(H))$ for any polynomial $f(H)$. We have

$$[G, f(H)] = f(H+1)G - f(H)G = \Delta f(H)G.$$

From this we find

$$\mathrm{ad}_G^2(Y) = [G, \Delta S(H)] = \Delta^2 S(H)G.$$

By induction we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_G^j(Y) = [\Delta^j S(H)]G^{j-1}.$$

On the other hand $\Delta^j S(H)$ is a polynomial of degree $d+1-j$. Hence $\mathrm{ad}_G^{d+2}(Y) = 0$. This gives the last formula. □

We can define more general automorphisms by making use of any polynomial $q(G)$ in G without a constant term and put

$$\sigma_q = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}}.$$

Remark 3.3. Without the restriction the class of new VOP will be the same. The point is that a nonzero constant term q_0 in $q(G)$ will simply multiply the polynomials by the constant factor e^{q_0}. The restriction is made only to simplify the formulas and the arguments. The same restriction will be imposed through the entire paper.

In what follows we will drop the index q from σ_q as there is no danger of confusion.

Lemma 3.4. $\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}$ *acts locally nilpotently on* $\mathcal{B}_1^R$, *i.e. the series defining* $\sigma_q(A)$ *is finite for any* A.

Proof. We are going to prove the statement for the generators Y, H, G of $\mathcal{B}_1^R$. First, $\sigma(G) = G$.

Next we consider $\sigma(H)$. We have

$$[G^k, H] = kG^k. \tag{3.10}$$ {G-H}

Hence

$$\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}(H) = q'(G)G \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}^2(H) = 0.$$

This gives

$$\sigma(H) = H + q'(G)G.$$

Finally let us compute

$$\sigma(Y) = Y + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}^j(Y)}{j!}.$$

We start with $[q(G), Y]$. From the identity

$$[G, Y] = \Delta S(H)$$

we find for any k

$$[G^k, Y] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G^j \Delta S(H) G^{k-1-j}.$$

In what follows we do not need the exact coefficients. Using (3.10) we can write the last formula as

$$[G^k, Y] = F(H)G^{k-1},\ \deg(F) = d.$$

Let us denote the degree of $q(G)$ by l. Then we see that $\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}$ has the form

$$\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}(Y) = \sum_{j<l} a_j Q_j(H) G^j,\ \ \deg Q_j = d.$$

Each application of $\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}$ will reduce the degree of Q_j by 1. From (3.10) with $k = l$ we see that it raises the degree of G by l. Hence a formula of the form

$$\mathrm{ad}^r_{q(G)}(Y) = \sum_{j<lr} b_{jr} G^j Q_{d-r+1}(H)$$

holds with $\deg Q_{d-r+1}(H) = d - r + 1$. Hence we derive

$$\mathrm{ad}^{d+2}_{q(G)}(Y) = 0.$$

□

From the proof it follows that

Corollary 3.5. *The automorphism σ acts on Y as*

$$\sigma Y = Y + \sum_{j=0}^{ld+l-1} \gamma_j(H) G^j.$$

□

The automorphisms induced by $q(G)$ will also be used in our studies of VOP.

3.2. **Bispectral anti-involution.** Let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be an algebra with unit 1, spanned by the generators $T, T^{-1}, \hat{n}$ and the relations

$$T.T^{-1} = T^{-1}.T = 1,\ [T, \hat{n}] = T,\ \ [T^{-1}, \hat{n}] = -T^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that $[T, \hat{n}T^{-1}] = 1$. Hence the elements $T, \hat{n}T^{-1}$ span a copy of the Weyl algebra. Let us define an anti-homomorphism b, i.e. a map $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1^R \to \mathcal{R}_2$ satisfying $b(m_1.m_2) = b(m_2).b(m_1))$, for each $m_1,\ m_2 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ by:

$$\begin{cases} b(Y) = T \\ b(H) = \hat{n} \\ b(G) = \hat{n}T^{-1}R(\hat{n}). \end{cases}$$

Let us define the algebra $\mathcal{B}_2^R = b(\mathcal{B}_1^R)$. Then $b\colon \mathcal{B}_1^R \to \mathcal{B}_2^R$ is an anti-isomorphism and in particular $b^{-1}\colon \mathcal{B}_2^R \to \mathcal{R}_1$ is well defined.

Remark 3.6. In section 2 we first defined a bi-module $\mathcal{M}$ and using an element $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ we defined the anti-isomorphism b. As we see there is no problem to perform everything without $\mathcal{M}$. Nevertheless, having in mind our goal of constructing VOP, we can point out a suitable bi-module and an element ψ in it. Let us take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F}[X]$ and put for example $\psi = X^n,\ n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Then we can define actions on ψ by

$$\begin{cases} Z(X^n) = nX^{n-1} \\ Y(X^n) = X^{n+1} \\ T(X^n) = X^{n+1} \\ \mathfrak{n}T^{-1}(X^n) = nX^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

□

3.3. **New anti-isomorphism.** Using the automorphism σ we can define a new anti-isomorphism b' by the formula $b' = b \circ \sigma^{-1}$. In what follows we will compute explicitly b'. The more general $b'_q := b \circ \sigma_q^{-1}$ can also be computed explicitly. However the formulas are too involved and in any specific situation they are easier to compute directly. Below having in mind applications to VOP we will use the generator $L = \sigma(H)$ instead of H.

Theorem 3.7. *The new bispectral involution $b^{'}$ on $\mathcal{B}_1$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{cases} b^{'}(G) = \hat{n}R(\hat{n}-1)T^{-1} \\ b'(Y) = T + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j [R(\hat{n}-1)nT^{-1}]^{j-1}[\Delta^j S(\hat{n})]}{j!} \\ b^{'}(L) = \hat{n}. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

{eq:nbi}

Proof. We have $b^{'}(G) = b \circ \sigma^{-1}(G) = b(G) = \hat{n}R(\hat{n}-1)T^{-1}$. In the same way we compute

$$b^{'}(Y) = b \circ \sigma^{-1}(Y) = b(Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j b([\Delta^j S(H)]G^{j-1})}{j!}$$

$$= T + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j (\hat{n}R(\hat{n}-1)T^{-1})^{j-1}[\Delta^j S(\hat{n})]}{j!}.$$

Finally for L we find

$$b^{'}(L) = b \circ \sigma^{-1}(L) = b \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma(H) = b(H) = \hat{n}.$$

□

Following [37, 38] we introduce more general automorphisms. Let $q(Y)$ be a polynomial without a constant term. We can define an automorphism σ as

$$\sigma_q = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}} \colon \mathcal{B}_1^G \to \mathcal{B}_1^G.$$

From it we define the anti-automorphism $b^q = b \circ \sigma_q^{-1}$. Then the following theorem holds

Theorem 3.8. *The new bispectral involution $b^{'}$ on $\mathcal{B}_1$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{cases} b^{'}(G) = \hat{n}R(\hat{n}-1)T^{-1} \\ b^{'}(L) = \hat{n} \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

{eq:gen}

and an equation of the form

$$b'(Y) = T + \sum_{j=1}^{ld-1} \gamma_j(H)(\hat{n}T^{-1})^j$$

with some m and where coefficients γ_j are polynomials in H.

Proof. Essentially repeats the proof of Theorem 3.7.

□

Part 2. Applications

4. Continuous VOP

In this section we want to use the abstract algebraic construction to produce VOP, which are eigenfunctions of ordinary differential operators. With this purpose in mind we realize the Weyl algebra W_1 as an algebra of differential operators in one variable x with polynomial coefficients. We consider that the Weyl algebra is represented in $\mathcal{F}[x]$ by realizing Y as the operator of multiplication by x and the operator Z as the differentiation ∂_x with respect to x.

The operators $T^{\pm}$ will be acting on functions $f(n)$ by $T^{\pm}f(n) = f(n \pm 1)$ and the operator $\hat{n}$ as multiplication by n, i.e. $\hat{n}f(n) = nf(n)$. We can apply the algebraic constructions from Part 1 to this case. In particular we define $H = x\partial_x$. We fix a polynomial $R(X)$ and define the element $G = R(H)\partial_x$. Then our algebra $\mathcal{B}_1^R$ will be spanned by $G, H, \hat{x}$.

Our initial polynomial system will be $\psi(x, n) = x^n$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} G\psi(x,n) = \hat{n}R(\hat{n}-1)T^{-1}\psi(x,n) \\ H\psi(x,n) = \hat{n}\psi(x,n) \\ x\psi(x,n) = T\psi(x,n). \end{cases}$$

Then we define the corresponding automorphism

$$\sigma = e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}.$$

With its help we define the vector orthogonal polynomials. This will be done by putting

$$P_n^R(x) = e^G\psi(x,n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^j\psi(x,n)}{j!}.$$

Notice that the operator G reduces the degree of any polynomial by 1. This yields that the above series is finite and defines a polynomial of degree n.

Let us introduce the operator $L = \sigma^{-1}(H)$. In the present situation it reads

$$L = x\partial_x + R(x\partial_x)\partial_x.$$

Finally we define the differential operator $M = \sigma(x)$.

Theorem 4.1. *The polynomials P_n^R have the following properties:*
(i) They are eigenfunctions of the differential operator L with eigenvalues $\lambda(n) = n$.
(ii) They satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} xP_n^R(x) &= P_{n+1}^R(x) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}(n-k+1)R(n-k)[\Delta^j S(n-j)]}{j!} P_{n-j+1}^R(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

(iii) They have ladder operators as follows: the operator G is a lowering operator

$$GP_n^R(x) = nR(n-1)P_{n-1}^R(x) \tag{4.14}$$ {new-low}

while the operator M is a raising operator:

$$MP_n^R(x) = P_{n+1}^R(x). \tag{4.15}$$ {new-rai}

(iv) Rodrigues-type formula holds:

$$P_n^R(x) = M^n 1.$$

Proof. The proof follows quite directly from Theorem 3.7 Indeed, statement (i) that polynomials $P_n^R(x)$ are eigenfunctions of the operator

$$L = R(H)\partial_x + x\partial_x.$$

follows from the computation of the new bispectral involution b' in Theorem 3.7. More exactly, the third equation of (3.11) gives

$$(R(H)\partial_x + x\partial_x)P_n^R(x) = nP_n^R(x).$$

To prove recurrence (ii), observe that the second equation in (3.11) provides

$$xP_n^R(x) = b'(x) = P_{n+1}^R(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j [nR(n-1)T^{-1}]^{j-1}\Delta^j S(n)}{j!} P_n^R.$$

This gives

$$xP_n^R(x) = P_{n+1}^R(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j \Delta^j S(n-j) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}(n-k+1)R(n-k)}{j!} P_{n-j+1}^R(x).$$

Also the third equation in (3.11) gives the lowering operator (4.14)

$$R(H)\partial_x P_n^R = nR(n-1)P_{n-1}^R.$$

Finally we have

$$MP_n^R(x) = e^G x e^{-G} e^G x^n = e^G x x^n = P_{n+1}^R(x),$$

which proves (4.15). The Rodrigues-like formula follows from the last one.

□

Next we consider a polynomial $q(X)$ and we assume that $q(X)$ has no constant term. We formulated the special case of $q(G) = G$ as the formulas there are simple.

Define an automorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$

$$\sigma(A) = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_1.$$

Also we define

$$P_n^q(x) = e^{q(G)}x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q(G))^j x^n}{j!}. \tag{4.16}$$ {q-cont}

It is clear that the latter series contains only a finite number of terms as the operator $q(G)$ reduces the degrees of the polynomials. (Here we use that q has no constant term.) Hence $\psi(x,n)$ is a polynomial in x. Define the operator $L := \sigma(H)$. One can easily see that

$$L = x\partial_x + q'(G)G.$$

We formulate the main results about the polynomial system $P_n^q(x)$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The polynomials $P_n^q(x)$ have the following properties:*
(i) They are eigenfunctions of the differential operator

$$L := q^{'}(G)G + x\partial_x. \tag{4.17}$$

(ii) They satisfy a recurrence relation of the form

$$xP_n^q(x) = P_{n+1}^q + \sum_{j=0}^{ld+l-1} \gamma_j(n) P_{n-j}^q. \tag{4.18}$$

(iii) They have ladder operators as follows. The operator G is a lowering operator:

$$GP_n^q(x) = nR(n-1)P_{n-1}^q. \tag{4.19}$$

while the operator $M = \sigma_q(x)$ is a raising operator:

$$MP_n^q(x) = P_{n+1}^q(x).$$

(iv) Rodrigues-like formula holds:

$$P_n^q(x) = M^n.1.$$

We skip the proof as it essentially repeats the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3. Notice that the order of the differential operator $q(G)$ is $l(d+1)$. The recurrence relation involves $l(d+1)+1$ terms. This fact is similar to the case of the classical orthogonal polynomials, where the differential operator is of order 2, while the recurrence relation is 3-term. The remark answers a question by B. Shapiro (private communication).

5. Discrete VOP

Naturally here we use a realization of the Weyl algebra in terms of difference operators acting on the space of polynomials $\mathcal{F}[x]$. Then we define the operators $D^{\pm}$ acting on $f \in \mathcal{F}[x]$ by shift of the argument $D^{\pm}f(x) = f(x \pm 1)$. The operator $\hat{x}$ acts on $f(x)$ as multiplication by x. We also need $\Delta = D - 1$, $\nabla = D^{-1} - 1$ and $H = -\hat{x}\nabla$. Finally we put $g = \hat{x} - H$. The proofs here are almost the same as in the continuous case and we only point the differences.

We formulate the needed relations in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *The operators g, Δ span an algebra isomorphic to the Weyl algebra. The relations, between the operators defined above, are as follows:*

$$\begin{cases} [\Delta, g] = 1 \\ [\Delta, H] = \Delta \\ [H, g] = g. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Simple computations. □

Let $\psi(x, n) := (x)_n$. The next lemma shows that $\psi(x, n)$ realizes the bispectral involution.

Lemma 5.2. *The following identities hold:*

$$\begin{cases} H\psi(x, n) = n\psi(x, n) \\ g\psi(x, n) = T\psi(x, n) \\ \Delta\psi(x, n) = nT^{-1}\psi(x, n). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Simple computations. □

We fix a polynomial $R(X)$ and define the element $G = R(H)\Delta$. Then our algebra $\mathcal{B}_1^R$ will be spanned by $G, H, \hat{x}$. Instead of $\hat{x}$ it will be more convenient to use g. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} G\psi(x, n) = nR(n-1)T^{-1}\psi(x, n) \\ H\psi(x, n) = n\psi(x, n) \\ g\psi(x, n) = T\psi(x, n). \end{cases}$$

We see that all conditions to apply the algebraic construction are met. We can define an automorphism $\sigma \colon \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ as

$$\sigma = e^{\mathrm{ad}_G}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *The automorphism σ maps the generators of $\mathcal{B}_1^R$ as follows*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(g) = g + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \frac{\Delta^{j+1} S(H) G^j}{j!} \\ \sigma(H) = H + G \\ \sigma(G) = G. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is a special case of Lemma 3.2. □

Notice that we need the image of x instead of g. It is easily obtained by

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(g) + \sigma(H) = x + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \frac{\Delta^{j+1} S(H) G^j}{j!} + G.$$

Let us introduce the polynomials $P_n^R(x)$ by

$$P_n^R(x) = e^G \psi(x, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^j \psi(x, n)}{j!}.$$

Again using the argument that the operator G reduces the degree of any polynomial by 1 we find that the series is finite and $P_n^R(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n. Also we define the operator

$$L = \sigma(H) = H + G.$$

Now we are in a position to apply the algebraic construction of the bispectral involution.

Lemma 5.4. *The new bispectral involution $b' = b \circ \sigma^{-1}$ acts on the generators of $\mathcal{B}_1^R$ as follows*

$$\begin{cases} b'(x) = T + n + nR(n-1)T^{-1} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}(nR(n-1)T^{-1})^j \Delta_n^{j+1} S(n)}{j!} \\ b'(L) = n \\ b'(G) = nT^{-1}R(n). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Lemma 5.4 is just Theorem 3.7 in the specific situation. □

Exactly as in the continuous case we can define automorphisms using polynomials $q(G)$ of G without a constant term. Then we can define the automorphism

$$\sigma_q = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{q(G)}}.$$

The corresponding polynomials that we need are

$$P_n^q(x) = e^{q(G)}(x)_n.$$

Let us define the difference operator

$$L = x\nabla + q'(G)G.$$

In the next theorem we formulate the main results about the polynomial system $P_n^q(x)$. The special case of $q(G) = G$ will be only commented.

Theorem 5.5. *The polynomials $P_n^q(x)$ have the following properties:*

(i) They are eigenfunctions of the difference operator

$$L := q'(G)G + x\nabla.$$

(ii) They satisfy a recurrence relation of the form

$$xP_n^q(x) = P_{n+1}^q + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \gamma_j(n) P_{n-j}^q.$$

where $m = ld + l - 1$ when $d > 0$ and $m = l$ when $d = 0$.

(iii) They possess ladder operators as follows. The operator G is lowering operator:

$$GP_n^q(x) = nR(n-1)P_{n-1}^q;$$

the operator $M = \sigma(x)$ is a raising operator:

$$MP_n^q(x) = P_{n+1}^q(x).$$

(iv) Rodrigues type formula holds:

$$P_n^q(x) = M^n.1.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1. The only difference comes from the recurrence relation. The point is that $b'(x) = b'(g) + b'(H)$. While the term $b'(g)$ is the same as $b'(x)$ in Theorem 4.1, the other term $b'(H)$ contributes to $b'(x)$ with $q'(G)G$. Its degree in G is always l. The degree in G of $\mathrm{ad}^{d+1}(g)$ is always $ld+l-1$. But for $d = 0$ this gives $l-1$, which is less than l. For $d > 0$ we have $ld + l - 1 \geq l$. See Part 3 for examples.

□

We only mention the explicit form of the recurrence relation in the case $q(G) = G$:

$$xP_n^R(x) = P_{n+1}^R(x) + nP_n^R(x) + nR(n-1)P_{n-1}^R(x) + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(-1)^j \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}(n-k+1)R(n-k)[\Delta^j S(n-j)]}{j!} P_{n-j+1}^R(x).$$

6. Discrete vs. continous VOP

The common origin of the VOP in the continuous and the discrete cases suggests that there is quite direct connection between them pairwise. Let us fix the polynomial $R(H)$. For the purposes of this section we denote the algebras of differential operators corresponding to R by $\mathcal{B}_1^c$, while the algebra of difference operators will be $\mathcal{B}_1^d$, supressing the dependence on R. We also will attach the corresponding indexes c or d to the corresponding operators, as follows $A \in \mathcal{B}_1^c$ will be A^c, $A \in \mathcal{B}_1^d$ will be A^d.

First we point the connection between the basic systems. From the definition of the gamma function

$$\Gamma(-x+n) = \int_0^\infty t^{-x-1+n} e^{-t} dt$$

and using that

$$(x)_n = (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(-x+n)}{\Gamma(-x)}$$

we obtain

$$(x)_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(-x)} \int_0^\infty t^{-x-1+n} e^{-t} dt.$$

This prompts the definition of Mellin type transform of the function $f(t)$

$$\mathcal{M}^*(f)(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(-x)} \int_0^\infty f(t) t^{-x-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

The main feature of the transform $\mathcal{M}^*$ pertinent here is that it transforms the system t^n into the system $(x)_n$:

$$\mathcal{M}^*(t^n) = (x)_n.$$

Remark 6.1. In fact if we consider Mellin transform of $e^{-t}f(-t)$ then

$$\mathcal{M}((-t)^n e^{-t})(-x) = \Gamma(-x)(x)_n.$$

We are going to use the slight modification $\mathcal{M}^*$ defined above to underline the isomorphism between two copies of the vector space of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

□

Notice however that we don't need to go to integration and to the use of transcendental functions. We use Mellin's transform only to point the origin of the map. Of course one can define the map in purely algebraic terms over any field via the Stirling numbers $s(n,k)$, which in fact are sometimes introduced also by the isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}[x]$ given by

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s(n,k)x^k.$$

This shows that we again can work with any field.

Proposition 6.2. *The Mellin type transform $\mathcal{M}^*$ maps the continuous VOP $\{P_n^c\}$ into $\{P_n^d\}$. Moreover*

$$\mathcal{M}^*(P_n^c(t)) = P_n^d(x).$$

Proof. Let G be the operator (3.8). We apply its version in the usual basis $\{t^n\}$. We have

$$(G^c)^j t^n = (n)_j \prod_{k=1}^{d} (n-1+\alpha_k)_j t^{n-j}.$$

Obviously

$$\mathcal{M}^*((G^c)^j t^n) = (n)_j \prod_{k=1}^{d} (n-1+\alpha_k)_j \mathcal{M}^*(t^{n-j}) = (G^d)^j (x)_n.$$

Then applying $\mathcal{M}^*$ to the definition of the polynomials $\{P_n^c\}$

$$P_n^c(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(G^c)^j t^n}{j!}$$

and plugging in it the expressions for $(G^c)^j t^n$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}^*(P_n^c(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(G^d)^j (x)_n}{j!} = P_n^d(x).$$

□

Of course we can extend the map $\mathcal{M}^*$ to include the cases of $q(G)$. This is straightforward. We only mention the special case of the polynomials that originate from $q(\partial_x)$, which are usually called Appell polynomials (see, e.g. [23]). It is natural to call the polynomials defined via $q(\Delta)$ Charlier-Appell polynomials, cf. [38] and Example 7.7. In the case when $q(G) = a\partial_x^l$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the polynomials are the well known Gould-Hopper polynomials [29].

The next corollary is quite straightforward but we formulate it explicitly because of its importance.

Corollary 6.3. *The following systems are mapped to each other:*

(i) The d-orthogonal Appell polynomials are mapped to $d+1$-orthogonal Charlier-Appell polynomials. In particular the image of the standard Hermite polynomials are 2-orthogonal polynomials. The Charlier polynomials are obtained from the first member (trivial) of the Appell family, i.e. with $L = x\partial_x + a\partial_x$.

(ii) Laguerre-like polynomials are mapped onto the Meixner-like family. In particular the classical Laguerre polynomials are mapped onto the Meixner polynomials.

Proof. While the proof of the general statement is obvious, the special cases require some attention. We have left the arguments for Part 3.

Part 3. Examples

7. Elementary examples

In the examples below we construct the differential operator L that has the VOP $P_n^q(x) = e^{q(G)}x^n$ (or the corresponding expression $P_n^q(x) = e^{q(G)}(x)_n$ in the discrete case) as eigenfunctions. In some examples we also write explicitly the finite-term recurrence relation.

7.1. Continous VOP.

Example 7.1. Set $q(\partial_x) = -\partial_x^l/l$. Then we obtain

$$L = x\partial_x - \partial_x^l.$$

Observe that, for $l = 2$, the operator $-L$ is the standard Hermite operator. The recurrence relation for $P_n(x)$ is given by (4.13). In our case, it reads:

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + n(n-1)\dots(n-l+2)P_{n-l+1}(x),$$

which agrees with the results in [64]. If $q(\partial_x) = \rho\partial_x^l$ these are the well known Gould-Hopper polynomials, see [29]. They are discussed in more detail in [39].

In general all the examples of with $G = \partial_x$ and arbitrary q have been studied thoroughly starting with the classical paper by P. Appell [2] and bear his name. In the context of vector orthogonal polynomials the results have been found in [23].

Example 7.2. Here we take $G = -(x\partial_x + \alpha + 1)\partial_x$. Let us take the polynomial $q(G) = G$. In this case we have

$$L_1 = H + q'(G)G = -x\partial_x^2 - (\alpha + 1 - x)\partial_x$$

which is the generalized Laguerre operator whose eigenfunctions are the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$. See also Example 8.1.

Example 7.3. The simplest new example is given by the polynomial $q(G) = G^2/2$, $G = x\partial_x^2 + \beta\partial_x$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} L = H + q'(G)G = (x\partial_x^2 + \beta\partial_x)^2 + x\partial_x \\ = x^2\partial_x^4 + 2x(1+\beta)\partial_x^3 + (\beta + \beta^2)\partial_x^2 + x\partial_x. \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relations between $\hat{x}, G$ and H we can compute that

$$\sigma(x) = x + \Delta SG + G\Delta S + G^3,$$

which gives

$$b'(\hat{x}) = T + (2n+\beta-2)(n+\beta)nT^{-1} + 2(n)_3(n+\beta-1)_3T^{-3}.$$

The 5-terms recurrence relation reads

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1} - 2n(n-1+\beta)(2n-2+\beta)P_{n-1} + (n)_3(n-1+\beta)_3P_{n-3}.$$

Here are the first few polynomials:

$$P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x, P_2(x) = x^2 + 2\beta(1+\beta), P_3(x) = x^3 + 2.3.(1+\beta)(2+\beta)x, \ldots$$

A simple inspection shows that the polynomials with even indexes are even and the ones with odd indexes are odd. Put $x^2 = u$. Let us introduce the following new polynomial systems:

$$Y_n(u) = P_{2n}(\sqrt{u}),\ Z_n = u^{-1/2}P_{2n+1}(\sqrt{u}).$$

From the 5-term recursion relation we derive the following relations:

$$Y_n(u) = Z_n(u) - 2n(2n-1+\beta)(4n-2+\beta)Z_{n-1} + (-2n)_3(-2n+1-\beta)_3Z_{n-2}$$

$$uZ_n(u) = Y_{n+1} - (2n+1)(2n+\beta)(4n+\beta)Y_n + (-2n-1)_3(-2n-\beta)_3Y_{n-1}.$$

After simple manipulations we obtain relations of the form:

$$uY_n(u) = Y_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{3}\chi_j(n)Y_{n-j}$$

$$uZ_n(u) = Z_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{3}\kappa_j(n)Z_{n-j}.$$

We see that the new polynomial systems are again VOP, satisfying 5-term recurrence. Moreover both of them possess the Bochner's property - the corresponding differential equations are easily obtained from the differential equation for P_n. Let us derive them in the case $\beta = 1$ (for simplicity). First we transform the operator L by the change of the variables $u = x^2$. We are going to use that $\partial_x = 2u^{1/2}\partial_u$ and $x\partial_x = 2u\partial_u$. Then the new operator is

$$L_1 = [(2u\partial_u + 1)2u^{1/2}\partial_u]^2 + 2u\partial_u = 4[(2u\partial_u + 1)u^{1/2}\partial_u]^2 + 2u\partial_u.$$

Simple computations show that

$$L_1 = 16(u\partial_u + 1/2)^2(u\partial_u + 1)\partial_u + 2u\partial_u.$$

If we put $L_Y = L_1/2$ the differential equation will read

$$L_Y Y_n = nY_n.$$

We see that the polynomial system $\{Y_n\}$ corresponds to $G = R(H)\partial_u$, where $R(H) = 8(H+1)(H+1/2)^2$.

The differential operator L_3 for which the VOP $\{Z_n\}$ are eigenfunctions can be easily obtained having in mind that

$$L[u^{1/2}Z_n(u^{1/2})] = nZ_n(u^{1/2}).$$

Then we see that L_3 can be obtained from the above L_1 by conjugation:

$$L_3 = u^{-1/2} L_1 u^{1/2} = 16(u\partial_u + 3/2)^2 (u\partial_u)\partial_u + 2u\partial_u.$$

Then we can put $L_Z = L_3/2$. We see that the VOP $\{Z_n\}$ come from G with $G = 8(u\partial_u + 3/2)^2(u\partial_u)\partial_u$. Notice that we need to change the variable n into $m = n + 1/2$ to get the form of the present paper.

Let us recall that the classical Hermite polynomials $H_n(x)$ have two different representation via Laguerre polynomials for the even and odd polynomials. Namely, the even-indexed polynomials $H_{2n}(x)$ are up to a multiplicative constant $L_n^{(-1/2)}(x^2)$, while the odd-indexed ones $H_{2n+1}(x)$ are expressed up to a multiplicative constant as $xL_n^{(1/2)}(x^2)$. We see the same phenomenon here: the even-indexed polynomial system $P_{2n}(x)$ are given by $Y_n(x^2)$, while the odd-indexed ones $P_{2n+1}(x)$ is expressed in terms of the polynomials $xZ_n(x^2)$.

Remark 7.4. Example 7.3 can be turned into a more general result. Consider any $G = R(H)\partial_x$, and put $q(G) = G^l$. Then one can split the VOP into l families $F_s = \{P_{lm+s},\ m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\},\ s = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$. We leave the details to the reader. However to find the corresponding differential operators or which is the same - to find the the corresponding operators G that generate the families requires some labor. See [39] for more conceptual approach based on generalized hypergeometric functions.

□

Example 7.5. Let us take the polynomial $q(G) = G$, $G = x^2\partial_x^3 + \alpha x\partial_x^2 + \beta\partial_x$. Then

$$L_1 = H + q'(G)G = x^2\partial_x^3 + \alpha x\partial_x^2 + \beta\partial_x + x\partial_x.$$

The corresponding polynomials satisfy the 4-terms recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} xP_n^q(x) = P_{n+1}^q + (3 - 2\alpha)P_n^q(x) - 3n[(n-1)(n-2+\alpha) + \beta]P_{n-1}^q \\ -n(n-1)[(n-1)(n-2+\alpha) + \beta][(n-2)(n-3+\alpha) + \beta]P_{n-2}^q. \end{aligned}$$

This example has been produced in [11] within the context of vector orthogonal polynomials which are generalized hypergeometric functions.

Example 7.6. Let us take the polynomial $q(G) = G^2/2$, $G = (x\partial_x + 1)^2\partial_x$. Then

$$L_1 = H + q'(G)G = [(x\partial_x + 1)^2\partial_x]^2 + x\partial_x.$$

This example seems to be new as most of the examples originating from Sect. 4 and 5.

The polynomials satisfy a 7-term recurrence relation which we skip as it is not much simpler than the corresponding general formula (4.18). Here are the first few polynomials:

$$P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x, P_2(x) = x^2 + 2, P_3(x) = x^3 + 3.2x, P_4(x) = x^4 + 4.3x^2 + 4!, \ldots$$

It is clear that the arguments from Example 7.3 can be repeated. However the computations require more work. For this reason we again point [39].

7.2. **Discrete VOP.** Many examples about continuous VOP can be easily reformulated into examples about discrete VOP. This can be done either by using the isomorphisms between the two classes or directly repeating the arguments.

Example 7.7. We start with the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ spanned by $\Delta, x\nabla, \hat{x}$. Take the simplest operator $G(\Delta) = -a\Delta$. We find that

$$\tilde{L} = a\Delta + x\nabla.$$

Define the polynomials

$$C_n = e^{-a\Delta}(-x)_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-a)^j \Delta^j (-x)_n}{j!} = \sum \frac{(-a)^j (-n)_j (-x)_{n-j}}{j!}.$$

Simple computations (see [38]) show that these are the normed Charlier polynomials. This example, together with the identical construction of Appell polynomials in [37] motivates the name "Charlier-Appell polynomials" for general $q(\Delta)$.

Example 7.8. As shown in [38] the Meixner polynomials can be obtained using the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$, spanned by $\Delta, G = (\Delta - \beta)x\nabla$ and $\hat{x}$. We defined L starting from $(c-1)H = (1-c)x\nabla$ and $q(G) = \mu G$. Then

$$L = \mu(1-c)x(\Delta + \nabla) - \mu\beta\Delta + (1-c)x\nabla.$$

If we take the constant μ to be

$$\mu = \frac{c}{1-c}.$$

we obtain exactly the Meixner operator

$$L_1 = c(x+\beta)\Delta + x\nabla.$$

as given in [45] for $\beta \neq -N,\ N \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the Meixner polynomials $M(x, \beta, c)$ coincide with our polynomial systems built from $G = (\Delta - \beta)x\nabla$. See also Example 8.1.

In the case when $\beta = -N,\ N \in \mathbb{N}$ these are the Kravchuk polynomials $K_n(x, N, p)$ where $p = c/(c-1)$.

Example 7.9. Here is the simplest new example. This time we start with $H = -x\nabla$ Let us take $q(G) = \mu G^2/2$, where $G = (-x\nabla + \beta)\Delta$. Then the new polynomials

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu G^2)^j (x)_n}{j!}$$

are eigenfunctions of the operator $L = -x\nabla + [(\Delta - \beta)x\nabla]^2$

$$LP_n(x) = nP_n(x).$$

The recurrence relation reads

$$\begin{aligned} xP_n &= P_{n+1} + nP_n \\ &= -n(n-1+\beta)(4n-5+2\beta)P_{n-1} + (n)_3(n-1+\beta)_3 P_{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

One is tempted to repeat the construction of Example 7.3 and build again two families of polynomials. Again one can take the even and odd index polynomials. However it is not clear what can be the analog of the transformation $x^2 = u$.

8. Connection between continuous and discrete VOP

We have seen that the classical discrete and continuous systems are connected by a simple transformation. Let us examine some specific examples.

Example 8.1. From Example 7.2 we know that the Laguerre polynomials can be constructed using the operator $G^c = -(x\partial_x + \alpha + 1)\partial_x$.

The Meixner polynomials $M_n(x;\beta,c)$ are obtained in Example 7.8 from $(x)_n$ via the operator $G^d = \mu G$, $G = (-x\nabla + \beta)\Delta$, where

$$\mu = \frac{c}{1-c},$$

Let us consider the continuous polynomials defined from x^n via $G^c = \mu(x\partial_x + \beta)\partial_x$ with an appropriate choice of the parameters μ, β. Simple computations show that up to the linear change of the variables $x = -\mu t$ these are the Laguerre polynomials.

Then we can state the following

Corollary 8.2. *Meixner polynomials are the image of the Laguerre polynomials under the modified Mellin transform:*

$$\mathcal{M}^*(L_n^\alpha(-\mu t)) = M_n(x;\beta,c),$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{c}{1-c}, \quad \alpha = -\beta - 1.$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 6.2.

□

Remark 8.3. It is strange that such a simple formula has not been noticed till now. There are several papers where one can find maps between Laguerre and Meixner polynomials. In [6] the authors also use Mellin transform and find this formula but identify the final result as Meixner-Polaczek polynomials, which in fact are (from algebraic point of view) a specialization of Meixner polynomials. See also [47] and [62].

Other examples of such dualities include Kravchuk polynomials and Laguerre polynomials with $\alpha = -N$.

Example 8.4. In this example we show the effect of the "base change" $x \to g = x - H$. Let us take $\mathcal{B}_1$, spanned by $x\partial_x, G = a\partial_x^2$ and $\hat{x}$. The corresponding polynomial system $e^G x^n$ consists of the Hermite polynomials up to rescaling $x \to \mu x$. The discrete counterpart VOP becomes

$$H_n^d(x) = e^{a\Delta^2}(x)_n.$$

However it is not orthogonal system but VOP with $d = 2$. The explanation is that while the Hermite polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$xH_n(x) = H_{n+1} + nH_{n-1},$$

their discrete counterparts $H_n^d(x)$ satisfy

$$gH_n^d(x) = H_{n+1}^d(x) + nH_{n-1}^d(x).$$

Using that $g = x - H$ this gives $b'(x) = b'(g) + b'(H)$. We have

$$b'(H) = b(\sigma^{-1}(H)) = b(H - 2a\Delta^2) = n - 2a(nT^{-1})^2.$$

In explicit form the recurrence relation reads:

$$xH_n^d(x) = H_{n+1}^d(x) + nH_n^d(x) + nH_{n-1}^d(x) - 2an(n-1)H_{n-2}^d(x).$$

Example 8.5. Let us find the continuous counterpart of Charlier polynomials. We start with $G = a\partial_x$. The corresponding automorphism is given by

$$\sigma = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{a\partial_x}}.$$

We are interested in the anti-involution b' induced by G. We have

$$\begin{cases} b'(x) = T - a \\ b'(H) = n + anT^{-1} \\ b'(\partial_x) = T. \end{cases}$$

In particular the recurrence relation is

$$x\psi(x,n) = \psi(x,n+1) - a\psi(x,n)$$

and the polynomials are simple shifts of the basic system:

$$\psi(x,n) = e^{a\partial_x}x^n = (x+a)^n.$$

At the same time their discrete counterpart - Charlier polynomials satisfy

$$gC_n(x) = C_{n+1}(x) - aC_n(x),$$

which, using $b'(g) = b'(x - H)$ gives

$$xC_n(x) = C_{n+1}(x) + (-a+n)C_n(x) + anC_{n-1}(x).$$

References

[1] W. A. AI-Salam, *Characterization Theorems for Orthogonal Polynomials*, in: Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory and Practice edited by P. Nevai, with the assistance of M. E. H. Ismail, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications Colombus, Ohio, U.S.A. May 22 - June 3, 1989. Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht / Boston / London.

[2] P. Appell, *Sur une classe de polynômes*, Annales Scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 2me série, 9 (1880) 119–144.

[3] A. I. Aptekarev, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 99, issue 1-2, 423–447, 1998.

[4] A. I. Aptekarev, A. Kuijlaars, *Hermite-Padé approximations and multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 2011, Volume 66, Issue 6(402), 123–190

[5] J. Arvesú, J. Coussement, W. Van Assche, *Some discrete multiple orthogonal polynomials*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 153 (2003) 19–45.

[6] M. K. Atakishiyeva, N. M. Atakishiyev *On the Mellin transforms of hypergeometric polynomials*, 1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 L33 – L41.

[7] B. Bakalov, E. Horozov, and M. Yakimov, *General methods for constructing bispectral operators*, Phys. Lett. A 222(1-2) (1996) 59–66.

[8] B. Bakalov, E. Horozov, and M. Yakimov, *Highest weight modules over the $W_{1+\infty}$-algebra and the bispectral problem*, Duke Math. J., Volume 93, Number 1 (1998), 41-72.

[9] Y. Ben Cheikh and K. Douak, On two-orthogonal polynomials related to the Batemans $J_n^{u,v}$-fuction, Meth. Appl. Anal. 7 (2000), 641–662.

[10] B. Bakalov, W. Wheeless, *Additional symmetries of the extended bigraded Toda hierarchy*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49 (2016) 055201 (25pp).
[11] Y. Ben Cheikh, K. Douak, *A generalized hypergeometric d-orthogonal polynomial set*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 331, Série I, p. 349–354, 2000.
[12] Y. Ben Cheikh, A. Ouni, *Some generalized hypergeometric d-orthogonal polynomial sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 464–478.
[13] Y. Ben Cheikh, and A. Zaghouani, *Some discrete d-orthogonal polynomial sets*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 156, 253–263, 2003.
[14] P. Bleher, S. Delvaux, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Random matrix model with external source and a constrained vector equilibrium problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 64 (2011), 116–160.
[15] S. Bochner, *Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme*, Math. Z. 29 (1929) 730–736.
[16] G. Carlet, B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang *Extended Toda hierarchy*, Moscow Mathematical Journal 4(2) July 2003.
[17] Jen-Hsu Chang, *The Gould-Hopper polynomials in the Novikov-Veselov equation*, Journal of Mathematical Physics; Sep. 2011, Vol. 52, Issue 9, p. 092703.
[18] G. Dattoli, S. Lorenzutta, G. Marino, A. Torre, and C. Cesarano, *Generalized Hermite Polynomials and Supergaussian Forms*, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl., 203, 597,609–1996.
[19] Dattoli, G.; Srivastava, H. M.; Zhukovsky, K., *Orthogonality properties of the Hermite and related polynomials*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 182, p. 165-172, 10/2005.
[20] G. Dattoli, A. Torre and M. Carpanese, *Operational Rules and Arbitrary Order Hermite Generating Functions*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 227-1 (1998), 98-111
[21] M. G. De Bruin, in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, edited by Brezinski, C., Draux, A. , Magnus, A. P., Maroni, P. , and Ronveaux, A. Springer, Berlin, New York, 1985, Vol. 1171, pp. 74–83.
[22] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl, Bulletin de la Socit Mathmatique de France (1968) Volume: 96, page 209–242
[23] Kh. Douak, *The relation of the d-orthogonal polynomials to the Appell polynomials* Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 70 (1996) 279-295.
[24] J. J. Duistermaat, and F. A. Grünbaum, *Differential equation in the spectral parameter*, Commun. Math. Phys. 103 (1986) 177–240.
[25] A. J. Durán, M. D. de la Iglesia, Differential equations for discrete Laguerre-Sobolev orthogonal polynomials, Journal of Approximation Theory 195 (2015) 70–88.
[26] A. J. Durán, Manuel D. de la Iglesia *Constructing Bispectral Orthogonal Polynomials from the Classical Discrete Families of Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk*, Constructive Approximation February 2015, Volume 41, Issue 1, pp 49-91
[27] W.N. Everitt, K.H. Kwon, , L.L. Littlejohn, R. Wellman, *Orthogonal polynomial solutions of linear ordinary differential equations*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics Volume 133, Issues 12, 1 August 2001, Pages 85109.
[28] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, A. Zhedanov, *d-Orthogonal polynomials and su(2)*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Volume 390, Issue 2, 15 June 2012, Pages 472–487.
[29] H. W. Gould and A. T. Hopper, *Operational formulas connected with two generalizations of Hermite polynomials*, Duke Math. J. 29 1962., 51–63.
[30] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine, *Bispectral Darboux transformations: An extension of the Krall polynomials*, IMRN (Internat. Mat. Res. Notices), 8 (1997), pp. 359–392.
[31] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine, E. Horozov, *Some functions that generalize the Krall-Laguerre polynomials*, Jour. Comp. Appl. Math., 106(2), (1999) 271–297.
[32] F. A. Grünbaum, M. Yakimov, *Discrete bispectral Darboux transformations from Jacobi operators*, Pacific J. Math. 204(2) (2002), 395–431.
[33] L. Haine, E. Horozov, *Toda orbits of Laguerre polynomials and representations of the Virasoro algebra*, Bull. Sci. Math, 117, 1993, pp.485-518.
[34] L. Haine, E. Horozov and P. Iliev, *The trigonometric Grassmannian and a difference* W-algebra, Transform. Groups 15 (2010), no. 1, 92–114.
[35] E. H. Hildebrandt, Systems of polynomials connected with the Charlier expansion and the Pearson differential equation, Ann. Math. Statistics, 2(1931), 379-439.
[36] NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and Ch. W. Clark, editors, Cambridge University Press. 951 p. online: http://dlmf.nist.gov/16.2
[37] E. Horozov, Automorphisms of algebras and Bochner's property for vector orthogonal polynomials, SIGMA 12 (2016), 050, 14 pages arXiv:1512.03898 http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2016.050.

[38] E. Horozov, Automorphisms of algebras and Bochner's property for discrete vector orthogonal polynomials. arXiv:1602.04343 [math.CA].
[39] E. Horozov, Generalized Gould-Hopper polynomials. In preparation.
[40] P. Iliev, *Krall-Jacobi commutative algebras of partial differential operators*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 96 (2011), no. 5, 446–461
[41] P. Iliev, Krall-Laguerre commutative algebras of ordinary differential operators, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 192 (2013), 203–224.
[42] M. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications No. 98, Cambridge University Press.
[43] V. Kaliaguine, A. Ronveaux, *On an system of classical polynomials of simultaneous orthogonality*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics Volume 67, Issue 2, 29 March 1996, Pages 207–217.
[44] J. Koekoek, R. Koekoek, On a differential equation for Koornwinder's generalized Laguerre polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (4) (1991) 1045–1054.
[45] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky, R. F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their q-Analogues, Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2010.
[46] T. H. Koornwinder, Orthogonal polynomials with weight function $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}+M\delta(x+1)+N\delta(x-1)$, Canad. Math. Bull. 27 (2) (1984) 205–214.
[47] T. H. Koornwinder, *Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials and the Heisenberg algebra*, Jour. Math Phys. 30(4) 1989.
[48] H. L. Krall, O. Frink, *A New Class of Orthogonal Polynomials: The Bessel Polynomials*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1): 100–115 (1948).
[49] H. L. Krall, On orthogonal polynomials satisfying a certain fourth order differential equation, The Pennsylvania State College Studies, No.6, The Pennsylvania State College, PA, 1940.
[50] O. E. Lancaster, Orthogonal polynomials defined by difference equations, American Journal of Mathematics, 63(1941), 185–207.
[51] D. W. Lee, Difference equations for discrete classical multiple orthogonal polynomials Journal of Approximation Theory, Volume 150, Issue 2, February 2008, Pages 132–152.
[52] P. Lesky, Über Polynomsysteme, die Sturm-Liouvilleschen Differenzengleichungen genügen. Mathematische Zeitschrift 78, 1962, 439–445.
[53] L. L. Littlejohn, The Krall polynomials: a new class of orthogonal polynomials, Quaestiones Math. 5 (1982) 255–265.
[54] F. J. MacWilliams, N. J. A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland (1977).
[55] P. Maroni, L'orthogonalité et les récurrences de polynômes d'ordre supérieur à deux, Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse (1989) Volume: 10, Issue: 1, page 105–139.
[56] V. B. Matveev, M. A. Salle, Darboux Transformations and Solitons, Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer, Berlin (1991).
[57] Milanov T.E., *Hirota quadratic equations for the extended Toda hierarchy*, Duke Math. J., 138:1 (2007), 161–178.
[58] T. E. Milanov, H.-H. Tseng, *Equivariant orbifold structures on the projective line and integrable hierarchies*, Advances in Mathematics Volume: 226 Issue: 1 Pages: 641–672 Published: JAN 15 2011.
[59] J. Riordan, Combinatorial Identities, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, 1979.
[60] I. M. Sheffer, A differential equation for Appell polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 41, Number 12 (1935), 914–923.
[61] H.M. Srivastava - H.L. Manocha, A Treatise on Generating Functions, Wiley, New York, 1984.
[62] F. H. Szafraniec, *Laguerre and Meixner polynomials in duality*, Glasgow Math. J. 47A (2005) 191–198.
[63] K. Takasaki, *Toda Lattice Hierarchy and Generalized String Equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 181, Number 1 (1996), 131-156.
[64] M. Tater (joint with B. Shapiro), *Asymptotic zero distribution of polynomial solutions to degenerate exactly-solvable equations*, talk at CRM-ICMAT Workshop on Exceptional Orthogonal polynomials and exact solutions in Mathematical Physics, Segovia, 2014 (private communication).
[65] W. Van Assche, Difference Equations for Multiple Charlier and Meixner Polynomials, in: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Difference Equations Augsburg, Germany 2001, New Progress in Difference Equations, Edited by Saber Elaydi , Bernd Aulbach , and Gerasimos Ladas CRC Press 2004, Pages 549–557.

[66] W. Van Assche, E. Coussement, *Some classical multiple orthogonal polynomials*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Volume 127, Issues 12, 15 January 2001, Pages 317–347
[67] J. Van Iseghem, *Vector orthogonal relations. Vector QD-algorithm.* Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics Volume 19, Issue 1, Supplement 1, July 1987, Pages 141–150.
[68] C. Vignat, O. Lévêque, *Proof of a conjecture by Gazeau et al. using the Gould Hopper polynomials*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 54 (7), 073513.
[69] L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *Automorphisms of the Heisenberg - Weyl algebra and d-orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. 50, Issue 3, pp. 1–19, 2009.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University, 5 J. Bourchier Blvd., Sofia 1126, Bulgaria, and, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,, Bulg. Acad. of Sci., Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Block 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail address: horozov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg